Review

Association Between Chronic Periodontal Disease and Obesity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Benjamin W. Chaffee* and Scott J. Weston†

Background: Obesity is increasing in prevalence and is a major contributor to worldwide morbidity. One consequence of obesity might be an increased risk for periodontal disease, although periodontal inflammation might, in turn, exacerbate the metabolic syndrome, of which obesity is one component. This review aims to systematically compile the evidence of an obesity–periodontal disease relationship from epidemiologic studies and to derive a quantitative summary of the association between these disease states.

Methods: Systematic searches of the MEDLINE, SCOPUS, BIOSIS, LILACS, Cochrane Library, and Brazilian Bibliography of Dentistry databases were conducted with the results and characteristics of relevant studies abstracted to standardized forms. A meta-analysis was performed to obtain a summary measure of association.

Results: The electronic search identified 554 unique citations, and 70 studies met a priori inclusion criteria, representing 57 independent populations. Nearly all studies matching inclusion criteria were cross-sectional in design with the results of 41 studies suggesting a positive association. The fixed-effects summary odds ratio was 1.35 (Shore-corrected 95% confidence interval: 1.23 to 1.47), with some evidence of a stronger association found among younger adults, women, and non-smokers. Additional summary estimates suggested a greater mean clinical attachment loss among obese individuals, a higher mean body mass index (BMI) among periodontal patients, and a trend of increasing odds of prevalent periodontal disease with increasing BMI. Although these results are highly unlikely to be chance findings, unmeasured confounding had a credible but unknown influence on these estimates.

Conclusions: This positive association was consistent and coherent with a biologically plausible role for obesity in the development of periodontal disease. However, with few quality longitudinal studies, there is an inability to distinguish the temporal ordering of events, thus limiting the evidence that obesity is a risk factor for periodontal disease or that periodontitis might increase the risk of weight gain. In clinical practice, a higher prevalence of periodontal disease should be expected among obese adults. *J Periodontol 2010;81:1708-1724.*

KEY WORDS

Body weight; obesity; overnutrition; periodontal diseases; review.

* Division of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA.
† Private practice, Santa Cruz, CA.

The worldwide prevalence of obesity is a considerable source of concern given its potential impact on morbidity, mortality, and the cost of health care.[1] The World Health Organization (WHO)[2] has recognized obesity as a predisposing factor to major chronic diseases ranging from cardiovascular disease to cancer. Successful efforts to reduce and prevent obesity will have substantial public-health benefits.

Chronic periodontal disease is an inflammatory condition characterized by a shift in the microbial ecology of subgingival plaque biofilms and the progressive host-mediated destruction of tooth-supporting structures.[3-5] There has been considerable interest in drawing connections between periodontal inflammation and other chronic conditions, notably heart disease,[6,7] diabetes,[8] and preterm low birth weight delivery.[9] Although observed associations suggested a causal role for periodontitis in certain systemic diseases, a consensus opinion demands further evidence.[10,11]

Obesity might represent a systemic condition capable of influencing the onset and progression of periodontal disease. First noted using a ligature-induced periodontitis model in the rat,[12] the evidence of an obesity–periodontitis link in humans

Figure 1.
Quality in reporting of observational studies in an epidemiology flowchart.

obesity–periodontal disease association and, through a meta-analysis, to summarize individual study results into a quantitative estimate of that relationship. We hypothesized that there was a difference in the prevalence of obesity in the general adult population across groups of individuals with or without current signs of periodontal disease. As secondary aims, we sought to characterize mean differences in obesity parameters across groups with or without periodontal disease, mean differences in periodontal disease parameters across obese and non-obese groups, and any linear changes in periodontal prevalence with an increasing body mass index (BMI).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Literature Search

Electronic searches of the MED-LINE, SCOPUS, BIOSIS, LILACS, Cochrane Library, and Brazilian Bibliography of Dentistry databases were conducted in July 2010 for publications that investigated periodontal disease and obesity. In MEDLINE, the Medical Subject Heading term periodontal disease and the Boolean connector AND were linked to the terms overweight, overnutrition, BMI, waist-hip ratio, waist circumference, body weight, and body weight changes, each joined by the connector OR with vocabulary exploding allowed to automatically query indexed subheadings under the main terms. Analogous search strategies were applied to other databases, expanding query components to include similar terms such as periodontal and periodontitis to account for less-controlled indexing vocabularies. Terms were in English, but no other language or date restrictions were placed. Two reviewers (BWC and SJW) appraised retrieved titles and abstracts. Exclusion criteria included: non-human studies, no measure of periodontal disease or obesity, case series, studies of children, reviews, abstracts, or lack of peer review. Full-text copies of the remaining potentially relevant citations were obtained, and the following inclusion criteria were applied: English or Spanish language (or translation), suitable reference group, and an obesity–periodontitis association reported or calculable from tables. Publications were further excluded if periodontal status was only assessed by tooth loss, oral hygiene, gingival appearance, or use of a dental prosthesis. Additional

was recently addressed in several reviews.[13-20] Most of these publications[13-19] described a relationship between periodontal disease and metabolic syndrome (MetS) of which obesity, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and hypertension represent components,[21] as extensively discussed by Bullon et al.[15] In brief, all MetS components derive from a proinflammatory state characterized by insulin resistance and oxidative stress, with the latter being a common link with periodontitis in a bidirectional relationship.[15] Products of oxidative damage[22] and advanced glycation end products[23] might promote periodontal disease. Meanwhile, periodontitis could, itself, be a source of oxidative stress,[24,25] perhaps through the alteration of levels of circulating adipocytokines such as leptin,[26] which, in turn, accelerate the onset of insulin resistance and MetS.

Although these reviews provided insight into the possible mechanisms of an obesity–periodontitis relationship, none of the reviews were systematic or quantitative, and none of them performed a quality assessment of included studies. There has been a tendency to highlight a relatively small pool of studies specific to obesity and periodontitis rather than to explore the broader base of anthropometric data collected during epidemiologic studies. This systematic review aims to compile the evidence for an

Table 1.

Descriptive Characteristics and Individual Results of Studies Meeting Criteria for Systematic Review: Results Contributing to the sOR

Reference	Study Population	Periodontal Disease Case Definition	Obesity Case Definition	Crude POR (95% CI)	Adjusted POR (95% CI)	Quality of Evidence
Alabdulkarim et al., 2005[35]	400 dental clinic patients near Cleveland, OH	Alveolar bone score <60	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	2.37 (1.55 to 3.63)	1.86 (0.99 to 3.51)	Medium
Al-Zahrani et al., 2003[36]	13,665 NHANES III adults	≥1 site with clinical AL ≥3 mm and PD ≥4 mm	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	1.64 (1.38 to 1.96)	1.37 (1.14 to 1.64)	High
Bouchard et al., 2006[39]	2,132 NPASES I subjects aged 35 to 65 years in France	≥1 site with clinical AL >5 mm	BMI >30 kg/m^2	2.2 (1.7 to 3.0)	—	Medium
Brennan et al., 2007[40]	1,256 postmenopausal women near Buffalo, NY	Mean radiographic alveolar crest height loss >3 mm or ≥2 sites with clinical AL >5 mm or tooth loss due to periodontitis	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	1.20 (0.87 to 1.67)	—	Low
Buhlin et al., 2003[41]	50 prevalent periodontitis cases and 47 convenience controls in Sweden	≥7 sites with clinical AL >5 mm	BMI >26 kg/m^2 (men) BMI >25 kg/m^2 (women)	—	4.54 (1.59 to 13.0)	Medium
Dalla Vecchia et al., 2005[45]	706 non-pregnant community adults aged 30 to 65 years in southern Brazil	≥30% of teeth with clinical AL ≥5 mm	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	1.35 (0.97 to 1.9)	1.43 (0.92 to 2.22)	High
El-Sayed Amin, 2010[49]	380 patients aged 20 to 26 years attending an obesity clinic in Egypt	CPI ≥ 3	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	2.89 (1.65 to 5.04)	—	Low
Furuta et al., 2010[54]	2,225 non-smoking university freshmen in Japan	≥1 tooth with PD >3 mm	BMI ≥25 kg/m^2	2.54 (1.47 to 4.39)	3.4 (2.1 to 5.51)	High
Griffin et al., 2009[56]	10,505 NHANES 1999 through 2004 adults	Examiner diagnosis	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	—	1.21 (1.05 to 1.41)	Medium
Haffajee and Socransky, 2009[57]	695 adult periodontal clinic patients near Boston, MA	≥5% sites with clinical AL ≥4 mm or PD ≥4 mm	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	5.31 (2.79 to 9.5)	2.31 (1.19 to 4.49)	High
Han et al., 2010[59]	1,046 community adults aged 15 to 84 years in Sihwa and Banwol, South Korea	CPI ≥3	BMI ≥25 kg/m^2	—	1.60 (1.13 to 2.25)	High
Hayashida et al., 2009[61]	135 community adults aged 41 to 91 years near Nagasaki, Japan	CPI = 4	BMI ≥25 kg/m^2	0.63 (0.27 to 1.44)	—	Low

Table 1. (continued)

Descriptive Characteristics and Individual Results of Studies Meeting Criteria for Systematic Review: Results Contributing to the sOR

Reference	Study Population	Periodontal Disease Case Definition	Obesity Case Definition	Crude POR (95% CI)	Adjusted POR (95% CI)	Quality of Evidence
Ide et al., 2007[62]	4,285 civil officers aged 40 to 59 years in Japan	CPI = 4	BMI ≥25 kg/m^2	1.24 (0.98 to 1.57)	—	Medium
Khader et al., 2009[63]	340 patient companions at a Jordanian medical clinic	≥1 site with clinical AL ≥3 mm and PD ≥4 mm	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	6.6 (3.5 to 12.5)	2.9 (1.3 to 6.1)	High
Kongstad et al., 2009[64]	1,504 Copenhagen City Heart Study participants, Denmark	Mean clinical AL ≥3 mm	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	1.94 (1.36 to 2.76)	0.60 (0.36 to 0.99)	High
Kushiyama et al., 2009[67]	1,070 community adults aged 40 to 70 years in Miyazaki City, Japan	CPI = 4	BMI ≥25 kg/m^2	1.17 (0.83 to 1.64)	1.09 (0.77 to 1.53)	High
Linden et al., 2007[68]	1,362 men aged 60 to 70 years near Belfast, Ireland	≥15% sites with clinical AL ≥6 mm and ≥1 site with PD ≥6 mm	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	1.68 (0.94 to 3.0)	1.55 (0.82 to 2.93)	High
Morita et al., 2009[72]	2,475 employees of a large company in Tokyo, Japan	CPI ≥3	BMI ≥25 kg/m^2	1.6 (1.3 to 1.9)	1.9 (1.6 to 2.3)	High
Nishida et al., 2005[74]	372 factory workers near Osaka, Japan	Upper 20th percentile by percentage of sites with PD >3.5 mm	BMI ≥28 kg/m^2	3.17 (1.79 to 5.61)	4.40 (1.18 to 16.4)	Medium
Ojima et al., 2006[77]	3,343 1999 National Nutrition Survey and Survey of Dental Diseases participants in Japan	CPI = 4	BMI ≥25 kg/m^2	1.00 (0.79 to 1.25)	1.00 (0.79 to 1.26)	High
Saito et al., 2001[82]	643 dentate adults near Fukuoka, Japan	≥1 tooth with PD ≥4 mm	WHR >0.8 (men) WHR >0.9 (women)	—	2.0 (1.4 to 2.9)	Low
Saito et al., 2005[84]	584 community women aged 40 to 79 years in Hisayama, Japan	Mean clinical AL >2.41 mm	BMI ≥25 kg/m^2	2.1 (1.1 to 3.8)	1.8 (0.9 to 3.4)	Medium
Shimazaki et al., 2010[90]	1,160 dentate adults aged 20 to 77 years at a health clinic in Fukuoka, Japan	≥3 sextants with CPI ≥3	Highest BMI quintile (median: 26.5 kg/m^2) compared to lowest BMI quintile (median: 18.3 kg/m^2)	3.90 (2.24 to 6.78)	2.42 (1.33 to 4.42)	High

Table 1. (continued)

Descriptive Characteristics and Individual Results of Studies Meeting Criteria for Systematic Review: Results Contributing to the sOR

Reference	Study Population	Periodontal Disease Case Definition	Obesity Case Definition	Crude POR (95% CI)	Adjusted POR (95% CI)	Quality of Evidence
Slade et al., 2003[92]	5,552 Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study in United States subjects aged 52 to 74 years	≥30% of sites with PD ≥4 mm	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	1.29 (0.93 to 1.79)	—	Low
Torrungruang et al., 2005[95]	2,005 electric workers aged 50 to 73 years near Bang Kruai, Thailand	Mean clinical AL ≥4 mm	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	1.5 (0.8 to 2.7)	—	Low
Wakai et al., 1999[96]	630 adults aged 23 to 83 years presenting for health tests in Japan	CPI = 4	Highest BMI quintile (>26 kg/m^2) compared to lowest BMI quintile (<20 kg/m^2)	1.15 (0.49 to 2.70)	0.95 (0.50 to 1.81)	High
Wang et al., 2009[97]	12,076 community adults aged 35 to 44 years near Keelung, Taiwan	CPI ≥3	BMI ≥25 kg/m^2	1.27 (1.17 to 1.38)	—	Low
Wood and Johnson, 2008[101]	213 non-smoking dental-clinic patients in Mississippi	Mean PSR = 3	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	—	4.38 (0.88 to 21.8)	Low

POR = prevalence odds ratio; NHANES = National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (United States); PD = probing depth; NPASES I = First National Periodontal and Systemic Examination Survey (France); — = data not provided; CPI = Community Periodontal Index; WHR = waist-to-hip ratio; PSR = periodontal screening and recording.

publications were obtained by searching the citation listings of included studies and review articles.[13-20] Articles meeting the inclusion criteria were combined with the articles obtained from the electronic search. Each reviewer was then unmasked to the other's progress and a consensus was reached regarding any citations selected by only one reviewer.

Quality of Evidence

The quality of the information abstracted for the meta-analysis was assessed using a scale designed specifically for this review by the authors. Each reviewer scored studies independently using 24 criteria across six domains as follows: research questions (14 points), study design (nine points), measurement (eight points), analysis (14 points), presentation (four points), and conflict of interest (four points). A total of 16 points across the design and analysis domains were dedicated to appropriate adjustment for confounding factors. Quality-of-evidence scores were not intended to rank studies based on intrinsic quality alone but, rather, according to how well study results estimated the association between obesity and periodontal disease in the general population. There was no statistically significant difference in quality scores by

reviewer (mean difference: 0.8 points; Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test; $P = 0.68$); therefore, studies were ranked by the average score. The empirical distribution of quality scores suggested three strata, which roughly partitioned studies in tertiles. Twelve of the 13 high-quality studies and eight of the 10 low-quality studies maintained their respective designation regardless of whether average scores or the scores of either reviewer were used for classification. Two very low quality studies of the 10 were not included, and therefore did not contribute to the results of the review.

Meta-Analysis

The prevalence odds ratio was the measure abstracted for the primary meta-analysis because nearly all studies were cross-sectional. When individual studies reported more than one association measure, adjusted measures were preferred over crude measures, and stratified results were pooled when possible to estimate a population-level effect. When multiple publications drew results from an identical set of participants (such as from large national surveys), only data from the study with the most inclusive study population were abstracted.

Table 2.

Descriptive Characteristics and Individual Results of Studies Meeting Criteria for Systematic Review That Compared Mean Obesity Parameters Across Periodontal Disease Cases and Controls

Reference	Study Population	Periodontal Disease Case Definition	Crude Mean BMI (kg/m^2)	
			Cases	Controls
Al-Zahrani et al., 2005[37]	2,521 adults from NHANES III with similar physical activity levels over 10 years	≥1 site with clinical AL ≥3 mm and PD ≥4 mm	26.6 (SE: 0.3)	25.8 (SE: 0.2); P = 0.04*
Buhlin et al., 2003[41]	50 prevalent periodontitis cases and 47 convenience controls in Sweden	≥7 sites with >5 mm clinical AL	25.7 (SD: 3.3)	24.1 (SD: 3.0); P = 0.01
Buhlin et al., 2009[42]	68 prevalent periodontitis cases and 48 community controls in Sweden	≥7 sites with >5 mm clinical AL	25.9 (SD: 3.5) WHR: 0.90 (SD: 0.08)	25.0 (SD: 4.1); P = 0.20 WHR: 0.89 (SD: 0.11)
Dietrich et al., 2006[46]	462 male United States veterans aged 47 to 92 years	≥1 site with PD >4 mm	27.8 (SD: 3.6)	27.3 (SD: 3.8); P = 0.27
Franek et al., 2009[50]	99 patients with essential hypertension in Poland	CPI ≥ 3	29.5 (SD: 4.4)	29.9 (SD: 4.8); P = 0.63
Furugen et al., 2008[51]	158 community adults aged 76 years in Japan	≥1 tooth with PD >5 mm	22.8 (SD: 2.7)	22.4 (SD: 2.6); P = 0.38
Furuichi et al., 2003[52]	1,068 community adults aged 40 years and older in Japan	CPI = 4; controls CPI <3	23.6 (SD: 2.9)	23.6 (SD: 3.0); P = 1.0
Haffajee and Socransky, 2009[57]	695 adult periodontal clinic patients near Boston, MA	≥5% sites with clinical AL ≥4 mm or PD ≥4 mm	27.9 (SD: 5.7)	24.7 (SD: 5.6); P <0.005
Hattatoglu-Sönmez et al., 2008[60]	45 premenopausal female periodontal patients without diabetes and 40 convenience controls in Turkey	Patient referred to periodontal clinic for treatment	27.2 (SD: 5.0)	25.1 (SD: 3.6); P = 0.06
Kshirsagar et al., 2007[65]	154 hemodialysis patients in New York and North Carolina	≥60% with clinical AL ≥4 mm	27.2 (SD: 6.6)	28.8 (SD: 7.7); P = 0.27
Kumar et al., 2009[66]	513 mine laborers aged 18 to 54 years in India; no participants with BMI >30 kg/m^2	CPI ≥3	24.2 (SD: 3.4)	20.8 (SD: 2.8); P <0.005
Loos et al., 2000[69]	54 dental clinic patients in Amsterdam, The Netherlands, with generalized periodontitis and 43 controls	≥8 teeth with radiographic bone loss into middle third of root length	24.2 (SD: 3.8)	23.6 (SD: 2.9); P = 0.39
Machado et al., 2005[70]	Convenience sample of 60 dental-clinic patients, students, and staff in Brazil	≥2 sites with ≥5 mm PD	25.0 (SD: 3.3)	24.4 (SD: 2.6); P = 0.43

Table 2. (continued)

Descriptive Characteristics and Individual Results of Studies Meeting Criteria for Systematic Review That Compared Mean Obesity Parameters Across Periodontal Disease Cases and Controls

Reference	Study Population	Periodontal Disease Case Definition	Crude Mean BMI (kg/m^2)	
			Cases	Controls
Morita et al., 2009[72]	2,475 employees of a large company in Tokyo, Japan	CPI ≥3	24.0 (SD: 3.1)	22.9 (SD: 3.1); P <0.005
Noack et al., 2001[76]	174 community adults aged 35 to 79 years near Buffalo, NY	Mean clinical AL >3 mm; controls with mean clinical AL of 0 to 2 mm	28.9 (SD: 5.3)	27.5 (SD: 5.3); P = 0.17
Phipps et al., 2007[78]	Community sample of 1,210 dentate men in the U.S. aged ≥65 years	Clinical AL ≥5 mm in ≥30% of teeth	27.3	27.2; P = 0.58
Pitiphat et al., 2006[79]	35 pregnant women with periodontitis and 66 controls near Boston, MA; prepregnancy BMI collected	≥1 site with ≥3 mm alveolar bone loss	23.0 (SD: 5.1)	24.3 (SD: 4.1); P = 0.17
Pitiphat et al., 2008[80]	Convenience sample of 121 adults presenting for oral examinations in Thailand	>30% of sites with PD ≥5 mm	23.8 (SD: 3.2)	21.6 (SD: 2.4); P <0.005
Saito et al., 2005[84]	584 community women aged 40 to 79 years in Hisayama, Japan	Mean clinical AL >2.41 mm	23.6 (SD: 3.1)	23.0 (SD: 3.5); P = 0.09
Saito et al., 2008[85]	34 female periodontitis cases and 42 controls aged 50 to 59 years in ≥3 Hisayama, Japan	≥1 tooth with PD >5 mm and/or at least three teeth with PD >3 mm	23.3 (SD: 2.6) WHR: 0.89 (SD: 0.07)	21.9 (SD: 3.2); P = 0.01 WHR: 0.89 (SD: 0.06); P = 0.92
Shimazaki et al., 2010[90]	1,160 dentate adults aged 20 to 77 years at a health clinic in Fukuoka, Japan	≥3 sextants with CPI ≥3	Median 22.8	Median: 21.7; P <0.005
Söder et al., 2006[94]	33 periodontitis patients and 31 controls selected from an ongoing study in Sweden	≥1 site with PD ≥5 mm	25.9 (SD: 5.2)	23.5 (SD: 3.0); P = 0.03
Weyent et al., 2004[98]	1,053 U.S. community adults aged ≥65 years at baseline	≥10% of sites with PD ≥6 mm	26.6 (SE: 0.6)	27.2 (SE: 0.2); P = 0.31
Wolff et al., 2009[99]	59 periodontitis cases and 53 controls free of diabetes recruited from a dental clinic in Minneapolis, MN	≥5 teeth with PD ≥5 mm	27.6 (SD: 4.6)	25.5 (SD: 4.6); P = 0.02
Wood and Johnson, 2008[101]	213 dental clinic patients in Mississippi	Mean PSR = 3	Non-smokers: 29.2 (SE: 1.0) Smokers: 26.2 (SE: 0.6)	Non-smokers: 25.3 (SE: 0.6); P = 0.01 Smokers: 26.6 (SE: 1.4); P = 0.78

Table 2. (continued)

Descriptive Characteristics and Individual Results of Studies Meeting Criteria for Systematic Review That Compared Mean Obesity Parameters Across Periodontal Disease Cases and Controls

Reference	Study Population	Periodontal Disease Case Definition	Crude Mean BMI (kg/m^2)	
			Cases	Controls
Xiao et al., 2009[102]	492 clinic patients aged 40 to 87 years in China	≥30% of sites with clinical AL ≥3 mm	Patients with diabetes: 24.1 (SD: 3.5) Patients without diabetes: 22.3 (SD: 2.8)	Patients with diabetes: 24.2 (SD: 5.5); P = 0.80 Patients without diabetes: 22.8 (SD: 4.0); P = 0.29

POR = prevalence odds ratio; NHANES = National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (United States); PD = probing depth; WHR = waist-to-hip ratio; CPI = Community Periodontal Index; PSR = periodontal screening and recording.
* P value for mean differences calculated by the unpaired t test.

Table 3.

Descriptive Characteristics and Individual Results of Studies Meeting Criteria for Systematic Review That Compared Mean Periodontal Disease Parameters Across Obese and Non-Obese Groups

Reference	Study Population	Obesity Case Definition	Crude Mean Clinical AL (mm)	
			Cases	Controls
Chapper et al., 2005[43]	Convenience sample of 60 patients with gestational diabetes in Brazil	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	2.61 (SE: 0.41)	2.21 (SE: 0.54); P = 0.03
Dumitrescu and Kawamura, 2010[47]	Convenience sample of 79 private-practice patients	BMI ≥25 kg/m^2	3.18 (SD: 1.04)	3.07 (SD: 1.42); P = 0.70
Khader et al., 2009[63]	340 patient companions at a Jordanian medical clinic	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	3.03 (SD: 1.14)	2.27 (SD: 0.77); P <0.005
Kongstad et al., 2009[64]	1,504 participants in the Copenhagen City Heart Study, Denmark	BMI ≥30 kg/m^2	Women: 2.93 (SD: 1.39) Men: 3.18 (SD: 1.73)	Women: 2.39 (SD: 0.93); P <0.005 Men: 2.68 (SD: 1.20); P <0.005
Sarlati et al., 2008[86]	40 obese cases aged 18 to 34 years and 40 controls in Iran	Not stated	1.98 (SD: 0.5)	1.63 (SD: 0.3); P <0.005

Periodontal disease status was based on the clinical parameters selected by the individual studies. If multiple measures of periodontal disease appeared in a single study, clinical attachment loss (AL) was preferred to the probing depth, which was preferred to radiographs. Presented with multiple definitions, BMI ≥30 kg/m^2, concordant with guidelines of the WHO,[1] was the preferred measure of obesity. The waist-to-hip ratio was considered in the case of one study that did not report BMI. Given alternate cut points, the highest reported BMI category defined obesity, and the second highest category defined overweight. Given multiple categories of periodontal disease, the most severe disease designation was preferred unless few subjects (<20) were present in that uppermost stratum.

Overall and subgroup Mantel-Haenszel fixed-effects[27] summary odds ratios (sORs) were obtained using statistical software.‡ Ninety-five percent confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated by the method of Shore et al.[28] (Shore-corrected 95% CI) whenever this adjustment resulted in more conservative (wider) CIs. An overall DerSimonian-Laird random-effects[29] sOR was calculated for comparison. The sensitivity of the sOR to the inclusion or exclusion of individual studies was assessed. As a secondary meta-analysis,

‡ Stata IC version 10.1, StataCorp, College Station, TX.

Table 4.

Descriptive Characteristics and Individual Results of Studies Meeting Criteria for Systematic Review that Presented a Relative Increase in the Odds of Periodontal Disease With Each Unit Increase in BMI

Reference	Study Population	Periodontal Disease Case Definition	Adjusted POR for Obesity With Each Unit Increase in BMI (95% CI)
Al-Zahrani et al., 2005[37]	2,213 adults from NHANES III with a 10-year history of similar physical activity levels	≥1 site with PD ≥4 mm and clinical AL ≥3 mm	1.01 (0.98 to 1.05)
Borges-Yáñez et al., 2006[38]	315 community adults aged ≥60 years in Mexico	Clinical AL ≥4 mm	0.99 (0.92 to 1.05)
Bouchard et al., 2006[39]	2,132 participants in NPASES I aged 35 to 65 years	≥1 site with PD ≥4 mm and clinical AL ≥3 mm	1.04 (1.00 to 1.07)
Ekuni et al., 2008[48]	Convenience sample of 618 students at Okayama University, Japan; none of the subjects had a BMI >30 kg/m^2 or a CPI >3	CPI = 3	1.16 (1.03 to 1.31) Crude: 1.17 (1.04 to 1.31)
Furuichi et al., 2003[52]	1,068 community adults aged ≥40 years in Japan	CPI = 4	0.94 (0.81 to 1.09) Crude: 1.03 (0.91 to 1.17)
Marugame et al., 2003[71]	664 men aged 46 to 57 years at a self-defense force preretirement exam in Japan	Lowest 30% in distribution of mean alveolar bone support compared to highest 30% in distribution of mean alveolar bone support	0.98 (0.88 to 1.09) Crude: 0.94 (0.87 to 1.02)
Shimazaki et al., 2010[90]	1,160 dentate adults aged 20 to 77 years at a health clinic in Fukuoka, Japan	≥3 sextants with CPI ≥3	1.06 (0.97 to 1.16)
Xiao et al., 2009[102]	492 clinic patients aged 40 to 87 years in China	≥30% of sites with clinical AL ≥3 mm	Patients with diabetes: 0.95 (0.88 to 1.03) Patients without diabetes: 1.00 (0.93 to 1.07)

POR = prevalence odds ratio; NHANES = National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (United States); PD = probing depth; NPASES I = First National Periodontal and Systemic Examination Survey (France); CPI = Community Periodontal Index.

from studies that presented a difference in mean BMI across groups with and without periodontal disease or for those presenting a difference in mean clinical AL across obese and non-obese groups, a fixed-effects summary mean difference (sMD) was calculated using the general variance inverse-weighting method.[30] If not reported, SDs were calculated or estimated to obtain sMD. A Mantel-Haenszel fixed-effects linear sOR was calculated from studies that reported a change in the odds of periodontal disease per unit increase in BMI.

For the primary meta-analysis, a funnel plot served as a visual means for assessing any disproportionate representation of study results according to strength and precision.[31] A Begg-adjusted rank correlation test[32] formally tested for any trend of increasing association strength with reducing precision. It was sug-

gested that such an effect could represent the preferential publication of statistically significant positive results,[33] which could bias the sOR. The rank-based data-augmentation technique of Duval and Tweedie,[34] commonly called the trim-and-fill method, was used to recalculate the sOR under the hypothetical scenario that association measures of similarly low precision but opposite direction had also been present. Studies were divided into subgroups based on features of study designs or characteristics of study populations to explore whether the sOR was sensitive to such variables.

RESULTS

The electronic search generated 864 hits, which represented 554 unique citations. A total of 142 publications were obtained as full-text copies, and 74 of these

publications were later excluded on the basis of a priori criteria. Eight additional publications were identified as potentially relevant among the citation listings of included articles and review articles, and six of these articles were later excluded based on the same criteria. In total, 70 publications that represented 57 unique study populations were included for systematic review.[35-104] A summary flowchart is presented in accordance with a proposal for reporting meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology[105] (Fig. 1).

Articles[35,36,39-41,45,49,54,56,57,59,61-64,67,68,72,74,77,82,84,90,92,95-97,101] listed in Table 1 represent the study results that contributed to the calculation of the sOR. Tables 2 through 4 lists those results[37-39,41-43,46-48,50-52,57,60,63-66,69-72,76,78-80,84-86,90,94,98,99,101,102] that did not define periodontal disease and obesity as binary conditions but could contribute to an sMD (Tables 2 and 3) or linear sOR (Table 4). Table 5 lists four studies[53,73,98,103] that were unique in design and therefore their results could not be pooled. Two studies[75,91] were excluded from the review because of low scores according to the quality-of-evidence criteria. Results from 12 studies[39,41,52,57,63,64,72,84,90,98,101,102] appear more than once across Tables 1 through 5, as do three pairs of separately published studies in which each pair was based on a single study population.[36,37,58,59,72,73] Only independent results

contributed to any summary measure. An additional 11 studies,[44,55,58,81,83,87-89,93,100,104] which otherwise matched the inclusion criteria but derived results from subsets of the populations already described in Tables 1 through 5, are not listed. No experimental studies were found, and just two studies[73,98] were prospective. Publications were written in English, and no study published before the year 1999 met the inclusion criteria. Calculating a measure of association between periodontal disease and obesity or MetS was a principle study aim of 24 publications.[35,36,43,45,47-49,54,57,59,63,64,66-68,72,73,82,84-86,90,101,103] Forty-one independent results reported a positive association,[35,36,39-43,45-49,51,54,56-58,60,62,63,66-70,72,74,76,78,80,82,84-86,90,92,94,95,97,99,100] 22 of which were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$).[36,39,41,43,48,49,54,56-58,63,66,72,74,80,82,85,86,90,94,97,99]

For the association between prevalent periodontal disease and obesity, the overall fixed-effects sOR and Shore-corrected 95% CI was 1.35 (1.23 to 1.47) with a χ^2 statistic for heterogeneity (Q) of 81.7 with 27 degrees of freedom ($P < 0.005$) (Fig. 2). The DerSimonian-Laird random effects sOR was 1.48 (95% CI: 1.32 to 1.66). One study[97] accounted for 39% of the weight assigned in calculating the sOR; however, the exclusion of this result raised the overall estimate only slightly to 1.40 (Shore-corrected 95% CI: 1.25 to 1.57). The exclusion of

Table 5.

Descriptive Characteristics and Individual Results of Studies Meeting Criteria for Systematic Review That Had Other Study Designs

Reference	Study Population and Design	Primary Study Result
Furukawa et al., 2007[53]	Cross-sectional study of 94 hospital outpatients with type 2 diabetes in Japan	Adjusted for confounders; Spearman correlation coefficients between BMI and mean PD: −0.071; $P = 0.51$
Morita et al., 2010[73]	Cohort study of 1,023 Japanese workers initially free of MetS components	Adjusted for confounders; those participants with periodontal pockets at baseline (CPI ≥3) had greater odds of obesity (BMI ≥25 kg/m²) 4 years later; OR: 1.7 (95% CI: 1.0 to 3.0)
Weyent et al., 2004[98]	Cohort study of 1,053 community adults in the U.S. aged ≥65 years at two study sites	Adjusted for confounders; each 10% increase in baseline extent of sites with PD ≥6 mm associated with 2-year prospective weight loss of ≥5% of baseline body weight; OR: 1.55 (95% CI: 1.36 to 1.78)
Ylöstalo et al., 2008[103]	Cross-sectional study of 2,810 community adults without diabetes aged 30 to 49 years in Finland	Adjusted for confounders; the number of teeth with PD ≥6 mm among the highest BMI quintile (>29.1 kg/m) was 1.0 times the number in the lowest BMI quintile; 95% CI: 0.7 to 1.6; crude ratio: 1.7 (1.0 to 2.7); Poisson regression with total number of teeth as offset

PD = probing depth; CPI = Community Periodontal Index.

First Author (Citation)	Weight (%)
Alabdulkarim[35]	0.66
Al-Zahrani[36]	7.88
Bouchard[39]	3.26
Brennan[40]	2.47
Buhlin[41]	0.24
Dalla Vecchia[45]	1.35
El-Sayed Amin[49]	0.84
Furuta[54]	0.88
Griffin[56]	12.10
Haffajee[57]	0.60
Han[59]	2.22
Hayashida[61]	0.38
Ide[62]	4.73
Khader[63]	0.44
Kongstad[64]	1.03
Kushiyama[67]	2.23
Linden[68]	0.65
Morita[72]	7.30
Nishida[74]	0.15
Ojima[77]	4.82
Saito[82]	1.98
Saito[84]	0.60
Shimazaki[90]	0.73
Slade[92]	2.45
Torrungruang[95]	0.71
Wakai[96]	0.64
Wang[97]	38.58
Wood[101]	0.10
Overall	100.00

Odds Ratio, Log Scale

Figure 2.

Meta-analysis forest plot. The forest plot is a graphical depiction of the individual results that contributed to meta-analysis. Sizes of the boxes are proportional to the weight assigned to each result in calculating the fixed-effects sOR, where weight was assigned inversely to precision.

no other individual result altered the sOR by >0.02 units in either direction.

Combining those results[37,41,42,46,50-52,57,60,65,66, 69,70,72,76,78-80,84,85,90,94,98,99,101,102] in Table 2 generated an sMD of 0.80 BMI units (95% CI: 0.70 to 0.95) comparing individuals with periodontal disease to those without periodontal disease (Q = 135.4; P <0.005). The studies[43,47,63,64,86] that compared clinical AL across obese and non-obese groups (Table 3) yielded an sMD of 0.58 mm (95% CI: 0.40 to 0.74 mm) with greater clinical AL seen among obese individuals (Q = 5.1; P = 0.40). Eight studies[37-39,48, 52,71,90,102] expressed a linear change in the odds of periodontitis with each 1-unit increase in BMI (Table 4), resulting in a summary linear association ratio of 1.02 (Shore-corrected 95% CI: 0.99 to 1.04) and a Q statistic of 12.7 (P = 0.08).

Figure 3 displays the precision of the results from Table 1 as a function of the strength of association. The three largest estimates of a positive obesity–periodontitis association were also the three least precise estimates abstracted.[41,74,101] The Begg test suggested a trend of increasingly positive association measures with decreasing precision (continuity corrected P = 0.08). The trim-and-fill procedure estimated the sOR if analogous low-precision measures of an inverse association had theoretically been present. This procedure added seven hypothetical study results but only slightly lowered the sOR to 1.32 (Shore-corrected 95% CI: 1.20 to 1.44).

The results shown in Table 1 were divided into groups based on study characteristics, and fixed-effects sORs were recalculated by group (Fig. 4). If a particular study presented stratified results, for example among men and women, the appropriate stratum-specific result was abstracted for subgroup analyses. Among the 15 studies[35,36,45,54,57,63,64,67,68,72,74,77, 84,90,96] that presented both crude and adjusted estimates, the pooled adjusted measure was lower. Similarly, a less-positive association was seen with overweight than with obesity among 14 studies[36,39,45,49,57,59,63,64,68,74,92,95, 96,101] that provided both estimates. There appeared to be a stronger association between periodontal disease and obesity when results were only based on younger individuals, women, and non-smokers, as well as when a study specifically aimed to estimate an association between periodontal disease and obesity or MetS as a primary objective. No obvious pattern emerged by the quality-of-evidence levels. Summary estimates were similar whether BMI or waist circumference was used to define obesity based on the results of eight studies drawing from seven independent populations.[36,49,59,63,84,89,95,97]

DISCUSSION

A positive association was repeatedly demonstrated between prevalent periodontal disease and obesity across multiple studies from around the world. The meta-analysis of the systematically identified results from 57 independent study populations suggested an approximate one-third increase in the prevalence odds of obesity among subjects with periodontal disease, a greater mean clinical AL among obese individuals, a higher BMI among subjects with periodontal disease, and a slight but not statistically significant

Figure 3.
Precision versus strength funnel plot. The funnel plot depicts the study precision as a function of the strength of association. The outer borders of the funnel represent the required strength of association to obtain statistical significance at any given level of precision for a critical α for hypothesis tests of 1% or 5%. The vertical blue line represents the sOR for combining all 28 studies.

linear increase in the odds of periodontal disease with increasing BMI. In total, these findings are highly unlikely due to chance and persist over studies using a multitude of measurement strategies for assessing these two health conditions.

The summary measure of association (sOR) reported here was less strong in magnitude than those reported between periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes[106] or cardiovascular events.[107] However, based on a subset of included studies, there appears to be stronger obesity–periodontitis association in women, non-smokers, and younger individuals than in the general adult population. Although smoking is a well-studied predisposing factor for periodontitis,[108,109] smoking and BMI share a complex relationship,[110] which can appear to be inverse in certain populations.[111,112] For older individuals, tooth loss and impaired masticatory function might be a path through which advanced periodontal disease could impact energy balance and nutrition.[113] Studies that linked overweight or obesity to tooth loss reported positive,[114-116] negative,[117] and equivocal results[118,119] and are complicated by an association between tooth loss and underweight status.[113]

Though widespread, the use of meta-analysis has been controversial,[120-122] and any result must be in-

terpreted cautiously. Confounding and heterogeneity more often influence observational studies than clinical trials, which is a limitation in pooling results. Although oral diseases are sometimes presented as if separate entities from systemic conditions, shared risk factors, such as behavior and genetic predisposition, frequently precede the manifestation of disease. Incomplete accounting of confounding factors has made drawing unequivocal conclusions about periodontal-systemic disease connections an elusive goal.[123-125] Neither the sMD reflecting pooled differences in clinical AL across obese and non-obese groups nor the sMD based on pooled differences in BMI across periodontal disease patients and healthy controls was adjusted to account for confounding and, thus, likely overstates any causal difference across groups. However, the sOR based solely on adjusted results did not differ greatly from that comprised of all studies and maintained a statistically significant positive association (Fig. 4). However, for studies that presented both adjusted and crude results, the adjusted sOR was lower and remained potentially biased by unmeasured factors such as physical inactivity,[37,126] alcohol use,[127] and stress.[128]

The preferential publication of statistically significant positive results, or those deemed important, might theoretically bias the results of any meta-analysis.[129,130] Indeed, we observed a handful of results in the literature with low precision but strongly positive findings (Fig. 3). However, attempts to account for small study effects, either by exclusion or trim-and-fill techniques, did not greatly alter the sOR estimate.

The design of nearly all included studies was cross-sectional, making it impossible to determine the temporal relationship between diseased states. Whether one condition stands as a risk factor for another, or whether a measured covariable might represent a confounder or mediator on a causal pathway, could not be distinguished. Recent work[73] showed that individuals with periodontal pockets at baseline were more likely to develop components of MetS, including obesity, 4 years later. Two other prospective studies[131,132] appeared during the literature search but were excluded because of a lack of peer review. Hopefully, these efforts preclude the arrival of more high-quality prospective

Subgroup	Studies (n)		Fixed Effects sOR (95% CI)
All Studies	28		1.35 (1.24, 1.47)
By Adjustment:			
Adjusted	20		1.38 (1.21, 1.57)
Crude	23		1.47 (1.29, 1.68)
Adjusted, Has Crude	15		1.38 (1.17, 1.62)
Crude, Has Adjusted	15		1.74 (1.40, 2.16)
By Overweight:			
Overweight	14		1.18 (1.00, 1.39)
Obesity, Has Overweight	14		1.52 (1.26, 1.83)
Overweight, Adjusted	9		1.10 (0.95, 1.27)
By Quality of Evidence:			
High Quality	13		1.37 (1.16, 1.61)
Medium Quality	7		1.40 (1.13, 1.74)
Low Quality	8		1.31 (1.16, 1.48)
By Location:			
East Asia	14		1.32 (1.19, 1.47)
Europe or Middle East	6		1.87 (1.17, 2.99)
United States	7		1.30 (1.16, 1.46)
Other Subgroups:			
Younger	7		1.35 (1.14, 1.59)
Older	10		1.21 (1.04, 1.41)
Men	9		1.50 (1.27, 1.77)
Women	10		1.75 (1.26, 2.43)
Smokers	5		1.36 (0.98, 1.88)
Non-Smokers	7		2.08 (1.29, 3.36)
Obesity Study Focus	15		1.53 (1.32, 1.78)
Other Study Focus	13		1.28 (1.15, 1.43)
Waist Circumference	7		1.27 (1.09, 1.47)

Summary Odds Ratio, Log-Scale

Figure 4.

Subgroup analysis of studies included in meta-analysis. The study results contributing to the meta-analysis were divided into groups based on study characteristics (left), and fixed-effects sORs were calculated accordingly.

and a lack of flossing. Although the cross-sectional association between obesity and periodontal disease is consistent with a causal framework, deciphering the directionality of this relationship cannot be accomplished based on prevalence studies alone.

CONCLUSIONS

Despite the prospects of unmeasured confounding, a positive association between periodontal disease and obesity was suggested across diverse populations. Elucidating any physiologic mechanism behind this relationship will require well-designed prospective studies. For the clinician, continuing to stress the importance of maintaining a healthy weight, as recommended by the National Institutes of Health,[134] stands to benefit all patients. The prevalence of periodontal disease is likely to be higher among obese patients, although there is no current evidence to recommend differences in treatment planning.

studies that are necessary to validate the proposed causal links between obesity and periodontitis.

To our knowledge, the present analysis is the first on this topic that was systematic and quantitative in approach. We estimated the magnitude of the periodontal disease–obesity association with weighting by study precision and explored differences across subgroups of similar studies. A systematic search allowed for the inclusion of studies for which the association between obesity and periodontal disease was not a primary focus but from which an effect estimate could be abstracted and greatly widened the evidence base for this review.

This review did not cover investigations into the putative causal mechanisms that underlie the observed association between periodontal disease and obesity. However, Bullon et al.[15] proposed a bidirectional relationship between MetS and periodontitis mediated by circulating cytokines and oxidative stress. Alternately, Hujoel et al.[133] argued that a failure to account for correlations among health-promoting behaviors could create strong but spurious associations between oral factors and systemic conditions, such as seen between obesity

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Obesity: Preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser* 2000; 894:i-xii, 1-253.
2. World Health Organization. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser* 2003;916:i-viii, 1-149, backcover.
3. Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. The bacterial etiology of destructive periodontal disease: Current concepts. *J Periodontol* 1992;63(Suppl. 4):322-331.
4. Marsh PD. Microbial ecology of dental plaque and its significance in health and disease. *Adv Dent Res* 1994;8:263-271.
5. Pihlstrom BL, Michalowicz BS, Johnson NW. Periodontal diseases. *Lancet* 2005;366:1809-1820.

6. Scannapieco FA, Bush RB, Paju S. Associations between periodontal disease and risk for atherosclerosis, cardiovascular disease, and stroke. A systematic review. *Ann Periodontol* 2003;8:38-53.

7. Persson GR, Persson RE. Cardiovascular disease and periodontitis: An update on the associations and risk. *J Clin Periodontol* 2008;35(Suppl. 8):362-379.

8. Mealey BL, Oates TW; American Academy of Periodontology. Diabetes mellitus and periodontal diseases. *J Periodontol* 2006;77:1289-1303.

9. Khader YS, Ta'ani Q. Periodontal diseases and the risk of preterm birth and low birth weight: A meta-analysis. *J Periodontol* 2005;76:161-165.

10. Weidlich P, Cimões R, Pannuti CM, Oppermann RV. Association between periodontal diseases and systemic diseases. *Braz Oral Res* 2008;22(Suppl. 1):32-43.

11. Williams RC, Barnett AH, Claffey N, et al. The potential impact of periodontal disease on general health: A consensus view. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2008;24:1635-1643.

12. Perlstein MI, Bissada NF. Influence of obesity and hypertension on the severity of periodontitis in rats. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1977;43:707-719.

13. Bezera BB, Sallum EA, Sallum AW. Obesity and periodontal disease: Why suggest such relationship? An overview. *Braz J Oral Sci* 2007;6:1420-1422.

14. Boesing F, Patiño JS, da Silva VR, Moreira EA. The interface between obesity and periodontitis with emphasis on oxidative stress and inflammatory response. *Obes Rev* 2009;10:290-297.

15. Bullon P, Morillo JM, Ramirez-Tortosa MC, Quiles JL, Newman HN, Battino M. Metabolic syndrome and periodontitis: Is oxidative stress a common link? *J Dent Res* 2009;88:503-518.

16. Cullinan MP, Ford PJ, Seymour GJ. Periodontal disease and systemic health: Current status. *Aust Dent J* 2009;54(Suppl. 1):S62-S69.

17. Pischon N, Heng N, Bernimoulin JP, Kleber BM, Willich SN, Pischon T. Obesity, inflammation, and periodontal disease. *J Dent Res* 2007;86:400-409.

18. Ritchie CS. Obesity and periodontal disease. *Periodontol 2000* 2007;44:154-163.

19. Saito T, Shimazaki Y. Metabolic disorders related to obesity and periodontal disease. *Periodontol 2000* 2007;43:254-266.

20. Stabholz A, Soskolne WA, Shapira L. Genetic and environmental risk factors for chronic periodontitis and aggressive periodontitis. *Periodontol 2000* 2010;53:138-153.

21. Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. *Lancet* 2005;365:1415-1428.

22. Collin HL, Sorsa T, Meurman JH, et al. Salivary matrix metalloproteinase (MMP-8) levels and gelatinase (MMP-9) activities in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Periodontal Res* 2000;35:259-265.

23. Schmidt AM, Weidman E, Lalla E, et al. Advanced glycation endproducts (AGEs) induce oxidant stress in the gingiva: A potential mechanism underlying accelerated periodontal disease associated with diabetes. *J Periodontal Res* 1996;31:508-515.

24. Sonoki K, Nakashima S, Takata Y, et al. Decreased lipid peroxidation following periodontal therapy in type 2 diabetic patients. *J Periodontol* 2006;77:1907-1913.

25. Chapple IL, Brock GR, Milward MR, Ling N, Matthews JB. Compromised GCF total antioxidant capacity in periodontitis: Cause or effect? *J Clin Periodontol* 2007;34:103-110.

26. Karthikeyan BV, Pradeep AR. Gingival crevicular fluid and serum leptin: Their relationship to periodontal health and disease. *J Clin Periodontol* 2007;34:467-472.

27. Petitti D. *Meta-Analysis, Decision Analysis, and Cost Effectiveness Analysis.* New York: Oxford University Press; 1994:101-104.

28. Shore RE, Gardner MJ, Pannett B. Ethylene oxide: An assessment of the epidemiological evidence on carcinogenicity. *Br J Ind Med* 1993;50:971-997.

29. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* 1986;7:177-188.

30. Petitti D. *Meta-Analysis, Decision Analysis, and Cost Effectiveness Analysis.* New York: Oxford University Press; 1994:108-111.

31. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;315:629-634.

32. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics* 1994;50:1088-1101.

33. Light R, Pillemer D. *Summing Up: The Science of Reviewing Research.* Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 1984:65-67.

34. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics* 2000;56:455-463.

35. Alabdulkarim M, Bissada N, Al-Zahrani M, Ficara A, Siegel B. Alveolar bone loss in obese subjects. *J Int Acad Periodontol* 2005;7:34-38.

36. Al-Zahrani MS, Bissada NF, Borawskit EA. Obesity and periodontal disease in young, middle-aged, and older adults. *J Periodontol* 2003;74:610-615.

37. Al-Zahrani MS, Borawski EA, Bissada NF. Increased physical activity reduces prevalence of periodontitis. *J Dent* 2005;33:703-710.

38. Borges-Yáñez SA, Irigoyen-Camacho ME, Maupomé G. Risk factors and prevalence of periodontitis in community-dwelling elders in Mexico. *J Clin Periodontol* 2006;33:184-194.

39. Bouchard P, Boutouyrie P, Mattout C, Bourgeois D. Risk assessment for severe clinical attachment loss in an adult population. *J Periodontol* 2006;77:479-489.

40. Brennan RM, Genco RJ, Wilding GE, Hovey KM, Trevisan M, Wactawski-Wende J. Bacterial species in subgingival plaque and oral bone loss in postmenopausal women. *J Periodontol* 2007;78:1051-1061.

41. Buhlin K, Gustafsson A, Pockley AG, Frostegård J, Klinge B. Risk factors for cardiovascular disease in patients with periodontitis. *Eur Heart J* 2003;24:2099-2107.

42. Buhlin K, Hultin M, Norderyd O, et al. Risk factors for atherosclerosis in cases with severe periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol* 2009;36:541-549.

43. Chapper A, Munch A, Schermann C, Piacentini CC, Fasolo MT. Obesity and periodontal disease in diabetic pregnant women. *Braz Oral Res* 2005;19:83-87.

44. D'Aiuto F, Sabbah W, Netuveli G, et al. Association of the metabolic syndrome with severe periodontitis in a large U.S. population-based survey. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2008;93:3989-3994.

45. Dalla Vecchia CF, Susin C, Rösing CK, Oppermann RV, Albandar JM. Overweight and obesity as risk

indicators for periodontitis in adults. *J Periodontol* 2005;76:1721-1728.

46. Dietrich T, Kaye EK, Nunn ME, Van Dyke T, Garcia RI. Gingivitis susceptibility and its relation to periodontitis in men. *J Dent Res* 2006;85:1134-1137.

47. Dumitrescu AL, Kawamura M. Involvement of psychosocial factors in the association of obesity with periodontitis. *J Oral Sci* 2010;52:115-124.

48. Ekuni D, Yamamoto T, Koyama R, Tsuneishi M, Naito K, Tobe K. Relationship between body mass index and periodontitis in young Japanese adults. *J Periodontal Res* 2008;43:417-421.

49. El-Sayed Amin H. Relationship between overall and abdominal obesity and periodontal disease among young adults. *East Mediterr Health J* 2010;16:429-433.

50. Franek E, Klamczynska E, Ganowicz E, Blach A, Budlewski T, Gorska R. Association of chronic periodontitis with left ventricular mass and central blood pressure in treated patients with essential hypertension. *Am J Hypertens* 2009;22:203-207.

51. Furugen R, Hayashida H, Yamaguchi N, et al. The relationship between periodontal condition and serum levels of resistin and adiponectin in elderly Japanese. *J Periodontal Res* 2008;43:556-562.

52. Furuichi Y, Shimotsu A, Ito H, et al. Associations of periodontal status with general health conditions and serum antibody titers for *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*. *J Periodontol* 2003;74:1491-1497.

53. Furukawa T, Wakai K, Yamanouchi K, et al. Associations of periodontal damage and tooth loss with atherogenic factors among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Intern Med* 2007;46:1359-1364.

54. Furuta M, Ekuni D, Yamamoto T, et al. Relationship between periodontitis and hepatic abnormalities in young adults. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2010;68:27-33.

55. Genco RJ, Grossi SG, Ho A, Nishimura F, Murayama Y. A proposed model linking inflammation to obesity, diabetes, and periodontal infections. *J Periodontol* 2005;76(Suppl. 11):2075-2084.

56. Griffin SO, Barker LK, Griffin PM, Cleveland JL, Kohn W. Oral health needs among adults in the United States with chronic diseases. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2009; 140:1266-1274.

57. Haffajee AD, Socransky SS. Relation of body mass index, periodontitis and *Tannerella forsythia*. *J Clin Periodontol* 2009;36:89-99.

58. Han DH, Lim SY, Sun BC, et al. Mercury exposure and periodontitis among a Korean population: The Shiwha-Banwol environmental health study. *J Periodontol* 2009;80:1928-1936.

59. Han DH, Lim SY, Sun BC, Paek DM, Kim HD. Visceral fat area-defined obesity and periodontitis among Koreans. *J Clin Periodontol* 2010;37:172-179.

60. Hattatoglu-Sönmez E, Ozcakar L, Gökce-Kutsal Y, Karaagaoglu E, Demiralp B, Nazliel-Erverdi H. No alteration in bone mineral density in patients with periodontitis. *J Dent Res* 2008;87:79-83.

61. Hayashida H, Kawasaki K, Yoshimura A, et al. Relationship between periodontal status and HbA1c in nondiabetics. *J Public Health Dent* 2009;69:204-206.

62. Ide R, Hoshuyama T, Takahashi K. The effect of periodontal disease on medical and dental costs in a middle-aged Japanese population: A longitudinal worksite study. *J Periodontol* 2007;78:2120-2126.

63. Khader YS, Bawadi HA, Haroun TF, Alomari M, Tayyem RF. The association between periodontal disease and obesity among adults in Jordan. *J Clin Periodontol* 2009;36:18-24.

64. Kongstad J, Hvidtfeldt UA, Grønbaek M, Stoltze K, Holmstrup P. The relationship between body mass index and periodontitis in the Copenhagen City Heart Study. *J Periodontol* 2009;80:1246-1253.

65. Kshirsagar AV, Craig RG, Beck JD, et al. Severe periodontitis is associated with low serum albumin among patients on maintenance hemodialysis therapy. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2007;2:239-244.

66. Kumar S, Dagli RJ, Dhanni C, Duraiswamy P. Relationship of body mass index with periodontal health status of green marble mine laborers in Kesariyaji, India. *Braz Oral Res* 2009;23:365-369.

67. Kushiyama M, Shimazaki Y, Yamashita Y. Relationship between metabolic syndrome and periodontal disease in Japanese adults. *J Periodontol* 2009;80:1610-1615.

68. Linden G, Patterson C, Evans A, Kee F. Obesity and periodontitis in 60-70-year-old men. *J Clin Periodontol* 2007;34:461-466.

69. Loos BG, Craandijk J, Hoek FJ, Wertheim-van Dillen PM, Van der Velden U. Elevation of systemic markers related to cardiovascular diseases in the peripheral blood of periodontitis patients. *J Periodontol* 2000; 71:1528-1534.

70. Machado AC, Quirino MR, Nascimento LF. Relation between chronic periodontal disease and plasmatic levels of triglycerides, total cholesterol and fractions. *Braz Oral Res* 2005;19:284-289.

71. Marugame T, Hayasaki H, Lee K, Eguchi H, Matsumoto S. Alveolar bone loss associated with glucose tolerance in Japanese men. *Diabet Med* 2003;20:746-751.

72. Morita T, Ogawa Y, Takada K, et al. Association between periodontal disease and metabolic syndrome. *J Public Health Dent* 2009;69:248-253.

73. Morita T, Yamazaki Y, Mita A, et al. A cohort study on the association between periodontal disease and the development of metabolic syndrome. *J Periodontol* 2010;81:512-519.

74. Nishida N, Tanaka M, Hayashi N, et al. Determination of smoking and obesity as periodontitis risks using the classification and regression tree method. *J Periodontol* 2005;76:923-928.

75. Nishimura F, Kono T, Fujimoto C, Iwamoto Y, Murayama Y. Negative effects of chronic inflammatory periodontal disease on diabetes mellitus. *J Int Acad Periodontol* 2000;2:49-55.

76. Noack B, Genco RJ, Trevisan M, Grossi S, Zambon JJ, De Nardin E. Periodontal infections contribute to elevated systemic C-reactive protein level. *J Periodontol* 2001;72:1221-1227.

77. Ojima M, Hanioka T, Tanaka K, Inoshita E, Aoyama H. Relationship between smoking status and periodontal conditions: Findings from national databases in Japan. *J Periodontal Res* 2006;41:573-579.

78. Phipps KR, Chan BK, Madden TE, et al. Longitudinal study of bone density and periodontal disease in men. *J Dent Res* 2007;86:1110-1114.

79. Pitiphat W, Joshipura KJ, Rich-Edwards JW, Williams PL, Douglass CW, Gillman MW. Periodontitis and plasma C-reactive protein during pregnancy. *J Periodontol* 2006;77:821-825.

80. Pitiphat W, Savetsilp W, Wara-Aswapati N. C-reactive protein associated with periodontitis in a Thai population. *J Clin Periodontol* 2008;35:120-125.

81. Reeves AF, Rees JM, Schiff M, Hujoel P. Total body weight and waist circumference associated with

chronic periodontitis among adolescents in the United States. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2006;160: 894-899.

82. Saito T, Shimazaki Y, Koga T, Tsuzuki M, Ohshima A. Relationship between upper body obesity and periodontitis. *J Dent Res* 2001;80:1631-1636.

83. Saito T, Murakami M, Shimazaki Y, Oobayashi K, Matsumoto S, Koga T. Association between alveolar bone loss and elevated serum C-reactive protein in Japanese men. *J Periodontol* 2003;74:1741-1746.

84. Saito T, Shimazaki Y, Kiyohara Y, et al. Relationship between obesity, glucose tolerance, and periodontal disease in Japanese women: The Hisayama study. *J Periodontal Res* 2005;40:346-353.

85. Saito T, Yamaguchi N, Shimazaki Y, et al. Serum levels of resistin and adiponectin in women with periodontitis: The Hisayama study. *J Dent Res* 2008; 87:319-322.

86. Sarlati F, Akhondi N, Ettehad T, Neyestani T, Kamali Z. Relationship between obesity and periodontal status in a sample of young Iranian adults. *Int Dent J* 2008;58:36-40.

87. Saxlin T, Suominen-Taipale L, Kattainen A, Marniemi J, Knuuttila M, Ylöstalo P. Association between serum lipid levels and periodontal infection. *J Clin Periodontol* 2008;35:1040-1047.

88. Saxlin T, Suominen-Taipale L, Leiviskä J, Jula A, Knuuttila M, Ylöstalo P. Role of serum cytokines tumour necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-6 in the association between body weight and periodontal infection. *J Clin Periodontol* 2009;36:100-105.

89. Shimazaki Y, Saito T, Yonemoto K, Kiyohara Y, Iida M, Yamashita Y. Relationship of metabolic syndrome to periodontal disease in Japanese women: The Hisayama Study. *J Dent Res* 2007;86:271-275.

90. Shimazaki Y, Egami Y, Matsubara T, et al. Relationship between obesity and physical fitness and periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 2010;81:1124-1131.

91. Skaleric U, Kovac-Kavcic M. Some risk factors for the progression of periodontal disease. *J Int Acad Periodontol* 2000;2:19-23.

92. Slade GD, Ghezzi EM, Heiss G, Beck JD, Riche E, Offenbacher S. Relationship between periodontal disease and C-reactive protein among adults in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. *Arch Intern Med* 2003;163:1172-1179.

93. Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. Periodontal microbial ecology. *Periodontol 2000* 2005;38:135-187.

94. Söder B, Airila Månsson S, Söder PO, Kari K, Meurman J. Levels of matrix metalloproteinases-8 and -9 with simultaneous presence of periodontal pathogens in gingival crevicular fluid as well as matrix metalloproteinase-9 and cholesterol in blood. *J Periodontal Res* 2006;41:411-417.

95. Torrungruang K, Tamsailom S, Rojanasomsith K, et al. Risk indicators of periodontal disease in older Thai adults. *J Periodontol* 2005;76:558-565.

96. Wakai K, Kawamura T, Umemura O, et al. Associations of medical status and physical fitness with periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol* 1999;26: 664-672.

97. Wang TT, Chen TH, Wang PE, et al. A population-based study on the association between type 2 diabetes and periodontal disease in 12,123 middle-aged Taiwanese (KCIS No. 21). *J Clin Periodontol* 2009;36:372-379.

98. Weyant RJ, Newman AB, Kritchevsky SB, et al. Periodontal disease and weight loss in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2004;52:547-553.

99. Wolff RE, Wolff LF, Michalowicz BS. A pilot study of glycosylated hemoglobin levels in periodontitis cases and healthy controls. *J Periodontol* 2009;80:1057-1061.

100. Wood N, Johnson RB, Streckfus CF. Comparison of body composition and periodontal disease using nutritional assessment techniques: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III). *J Clin Periodontol* 2003;30:321-327.

101. Wood N, Johnson RB. The relationship between smoking history, periodontal screening and recording (PSR) codes and overweight/obesity in a Mississippi dental school population. *Oral Health Prev Dent* 2008;6:67-74.

102. Xiao LM, Yan YX, Xie CJ, et al. Association among interleukin-6 gene polymorphism, diabetes and periodontitis in a Chinese population. *Oral Dis* 2009;15: 547-553.

103. Ylöstalo P, Suominen-Taipale L, Reunanen A, Knuuttila M. Association between body weight and periodontal infection. *J Clin Periodontol* 2008;35: 297-304.

104. Yu YH, Kuo HK, Lai YL. The association between serum folate levels and periodontal disease in older adults: Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001/02. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2007;55:108-113.

105. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: A proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. *JAMA* 2000;283: 2008-2012.

106. Wimmer G, Pihlstrom BL. A critical assessment of adverse pregnancy outcome and periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol* 2008;35(Suppl. 8): 380-397.

107. Blaizot A, Vergnes JN, Nuwwareh S, Amar J, Sixou M. Periodontal diseases and cardiovascular events: Meta-analysis of observational studies. *Int Dent J* 2009;59:197-209.

108. Tomar SL, Asma S. Smoking-attributable periodontitis in the United States: Findings from NHANES III. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *J Periodontol* 2000;71:743-751.

109. Tonetti MS. Cigarette smoking and periodontal diseases: Etiology and management of disease. *Ann Periodontol* 1998;3:88-101.

110. Chiolero A, Faeh D, Paccaud F, Cornuz J. Consequences of smoking for body weight, body fat distribution, and insulin resistance. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;87:801-809.

111. Xu F, Yin XM, Wang Y. The association between amount of cigarettes smoked and overweight, central obesity among Chinese adults in Nanjing, China. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2007;16:240-247.

112. Hou X, Jia W, Bao Y, et al. Risk factors for overweight and obesity, and changes in body mass index of Chinese adults in Shanghai. *BMC Public Health* 2008;8:389.

113. Sheiham A, Steele JG, Marcenes W, Finch S, Walls AW. The relationship between oral health status and body mass index among older people: A national survey of older people in Great Britain. *Br Dent J* 2002;192:703-706.

114. Hilgert JB, Hugo FN, de Sousa MdaL, Bozzetti MC. Oral status and its association with obesity in Southern Brazilian older people. *Gerodontology* 2009;26:46-52.

115. Marcenes W, Steele JG, Sheiham A, Walls AW. The relationship between dental status, food selection, nutrient intake, nutritional status, and body mass index in older people. *Cad Saude Publica* 2003; 19:809-816.

116. Sahyoun NR, Lin CL, Krall E. Nutritional status of the older adult is associated with dentition status. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2003;103:61-66.

117. Taguchi A, Sanada M, Suei Y, et al. Tooth loss is associated with an increased risk of hypertension in postmenopausal women. *Hypertension* 2004;43: 1297-1300.

118. de Andrade FB, de França Caldas AJ Jr., Kitoko PM. Relationship between oral health, nutrient intake and nutritional status in a sample of Brazilian elderly people. *Gerodontology* 2009;26:40-45.

119. Ostberg AL, Nyholm M, Gullberg B, Råstam L, Lindblad U. Tooth loss and obesity in a defined Swedish population. *Scand J Public Health* 2009;37: 427-433.

120. Greenland S. Invited commentary: A critical look at some popular meta-analytic methods. *Am J Epidemiol* 1994;140:290-296.

121. Lau J, Ioannidis JP, Schmid CH. Summing up evidence: One answer is not always enough. *Lancet* 1998;351:123-127.

122. Shapiro S. Meta-analysis/Shmeta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 1994;140:771-778.

123. Chong PH, Kezele B. Periodontal disease and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: Confounding effects or epiphenomenon? *Pharmacotherapy* 2000; 20:805-818.

124. Hujoel PP, Drangsholt M, Spiekerman C, DeRouen TA. Periodontitis-systemic disease associations in the presence of smoking — Causal or coincidental? *Periodontol 2000* 2002;30:51-60.

125. Spiekerman CF, Hujoel PP, DeRouen TA. Bias induced by self-reported smoking on periodontitis-systemic disease associations. *J Dent Res* 2003;82: 345-349.

126. Merchant AT, Pitiphat W, Rimm EB, Joshipura K. Increased physical activity decreases periodontitis risk in men. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2003;18:891-898.

127. Pitiphat W, Merchant AT, Rimm EB, Joshipura KJ. Alcohol consumption increases periodontitis risk. *J Dent Res* 2003;82:509-513.

128. Peruzzo DC, Benatti BB, Ambrosano GM, et al. A systematic review of stress and psychological factors as possible risk factors for periodontal disease. *J Periodontol* 2007;78:1491-1504.

129. Hopewell S, Loudon K, Clarke MJ, Oxman AD, Dickersin K. Publication bias in clinical trials due to statistical significance or direction of trial results. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009;(1):MR000006.

130. Song F, Parekh S, Hooper L, et al. Dissemination and publication of research findings: An updated review of related biases. *Health Technol Assess* 2010;14:iii, ix-xi, 1-193.

131. Gaio EJ. Effect of obesity on periodontal attachment loss progression: A 5-years population-based prospective study (in Portuguese). [Thesis]. Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil: Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul; 2008. 75p.

132. Marsicano JA. Evaluation of the oral health conditions of the obese patients and submitted to the bariatric surgery (in Portuguese). [Thesis]. Bauru, SP, Brazil: Universidade de São Paulo. 2008. 133p.

133. Hujoel PP, Cunha-Cruz J, Kressin NR. Spurious associations in oral epidemiological research: The case of dental flossing and obesity. *J Clin Periodontol* 2006;33:520-523.

134. National Institutes of Health. Clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults — The evidence report. *Obes Res* 1998;6(Suppl. 2):51S-209S.

Correspondence: Benjamin Chaffee, Division of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of California Berkeley, 101 Haviland Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-7358. Fax: 510/643-5163; e-mail: chaffee@berkeley.edu.